

Recall: CCE & interpretation of coordinating device

σ is a CCE if $\forall i, \forall s'_i \in \Delta_i$,

$$\mathbb{E}[u_i(s)] \geq \sum_{s \in \Delta} u_i(s'_i, s_{-i}) \sigma(s)$$

Traffic game:

	S	G
S	0 \ 0	0 \ 10
G	10 \ 0	-100 \ 0

- can compute all CCE in poly-time by solving an LP

Consider a game:

	L	R
U	0 \ 0	1 \ 1
D	1 \ 1	0 \ 0

It has 2 pure NE (D,L) & (U,R) & 1 mixed NE ((1/2, 1/2), (1/2, 1/2))

Is the set of CCE equal to the set of MNE?

Regret Minimization (External Regret)

Single agent, n pure strategies, multiple time steps, played against an adversary.

- at time $t = 1 \dots T$
 - agent picks a distribution $p^t \in \Delta_n$
 - adversary sees p^t , picks a cost fn $c^t: [n] \rightarrow [0,1]$
 - nature picks $a^t \sim p^t$, agent gets cost $c^t(a^t)$
 - agent learns c^t

Objective: minimize external regret in expectation

$$\text{Regret}_T = \frac{1}{T} \left(\sum_{t=1}^T c^t(a^t) - \min_{a \in [n]} \sum_{t=1}^T c^t(a) \right)$$

i.e., regret is excess cost over best strategy in hindsight

- expectation taken over randomness of nature, agent, & adversary.
- at time $t+1$, agent knows c^1, c^2, \dots, c^t , adversary knows p^1, \dots, p^t

Agent has "no (external) regret" if $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \text{Regret}_T \rightarrow 0$

Deterministic Algorithms:

- since $\forall t$ p^t is a point distribution, a^t is known to adversary.
- let $c^t(a^t) = 1, c^t(a) = 0 \forall a \neq a^t$.
- then $\sum_{t=1}^T c^t(a^t) = T$, and $\sum_a \sum_{t=1}^T c^t(a) = T$. hence

$$\min_{a \in [n]} \sum_{t=1}^T c^t(a) = T/n$$

$$\text{thus } \text{Regret}_T = \frac{1}{T} \left(T - \frac{T}{n} \right) = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$$

hence, deterministic algos cannot have no regret.

Multiplicative Weight Algorithm

Define: $D^t(a) := \sum_{s \in \Delta} c^t(s)$

Suppose a^* has minimum cost at time t , would like to choose a^* . But deterministic algos have high regret

Idea: use soft min.

Given n nos. x_1, \dots, x_n

$$\max_i x_i \leq \ln \sum_i e^{x_i}$$

$$\& \min_i x_i \geq -\ln \sum_i e^{-x_i}$$

(can replace e by any constant > 1)

$$\min_i x_i \geq -\ln \frac{1}{(1-\epsilon)} \sum_i \left(\frac{1}{1-\epsilon} \right)^{-x_i}$$

$$= -\ln \frac{1}{(1-\epsilon)} \sum_i (1-\epsilon)^{x_i}$$

So in round $t+1$, we choose action a w.p $\propto (1-\epsilon)^{D^t(a)}$

Or, define $w^t(a) = (1-\epsilon)^{D^t(a)}/n$

$$w^t = \sum_a w^t(a)$$

$$\text{then } p^{t+1}(a) = w^t(a)/w^t$$

So, the algo:

- in round 1, $p^1 = w^1 = (1/n, \dots, 1/n)$
- in round $t+1$, $w^t(a) = (1-\epsilon)^{D^t(a)}/n$

$$w^t = \sum_a w^t(a)$$

$$p^{t+1}(a) = w^t(a)/w^t$$

Analysis:

Note:

$$- w^t(a) = (1-\epsilon)^{D^t(a)}/n = (1-\epsilon)^{D^{t-1}(a) + c^t(a)}/n$$

$$= w^{t-1}(a) (1-\epsilon)^{c^t(a)}$$

- if $c^t(a) > 0$, then $w^t(a) < w^{t-1}(a)$

if $\exists a : c^t(a) > 0, w^t < w^{t-1}$

- if $c^t(a) = 0$, then $w^t(a) = w^{t-1}(a)$, and

$$p^{t+1}(a) = \frac{w^t(a)}{w^t} \geq \frac{w^{t-1}(a)}{w^{t-1}} = p^t(a)$$

With use:

$$\textcircled{1} \ln(1-x) = -x - \frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{x^3}{3} - \dots \quad \text{for } x \in [0,1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \textcircled{1} -x - x^2 \leq \ln(1-x) \leq -x \quad \text{for } x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$$

$$\textcircled{11} (1-x)^y = 1 - xy + \frac{x^2 y(y-1)}{2} - \frac{x^3 y(y-1)(y-2)}{3!} + \dots$$

$$\leq 1 - xy \quad \text{for } x, y \in [0,1]$$

$$\text{Now, } w^t = \frac{1}{n} \sum_a (1-\epsilon)^{D^t(a)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_a (1-\epsilon)^{D^{t-1}(a) + c^t(a)}$$

$$= \sum_a w^{t-1}(a) (1-\epsilon)^{c^t(a)}$$

$$\leq \sum_a w^{t-1}(a) (1-\epsilon c^t(a))$$

$$= w^{t-1} - \epsilon \sum_a w^{t-1}(a) c^t(a)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{w^t}{w^{t-1}} \leq 1 - \epsilon \frac{\sum_a c^t(a) w^{t-1}(a)}{w^{t-1}}$$

$$= 1 - \epsilon \sum_a p^t(a) c^t(a)$$

$$\text{Thus, } w^t \leq \prod_{\tau=1}^t \left(1 - \epsilon \sum_a p^\tau(a) c^\tau(a) \right)$$

now, at time t , let a^* be the minimum cost action

$$\text{Then } (1-\epsilon)^{D^t(a^*)} \leq n w^t \leq n \prod_{\tau=1}^t \left(1 - \epsilon \sum_a p^\tau(a) c^\tau(a) \right)$$

$$\text{or, } D^t(a^*) \ln(1-\epsilon) \leq \sum_{\tau=1}^t \ln \left(1 - \epsilon \sum_a p^\tau(a) c^\tau(a) \right) + \ln n$$

$$\leq \ln n + \sum_{\tau=1}^t -\epsilon \sum_a p^\tau(a) c^\tau(a)$$

$$= \ln n - \epsilon \sum_{\tau=1}^t \sum_a p^\tau(a) c^\tau(a)$$

$$\& \text{OPT}(-\epsilon - \epsilon^2) \leq \ln n - \epsilon \text{ALG}$$

$$\epsilon \text{ALG} - \text{OPT} \leq \ln n + \epsilon^2 \text{OPT}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Regret}_T = \frac{1}{T} (\text{ALG} - \text{OPT}) \leq \frac{1}{T} \left(\epsilon \text{OPT} + \frac{\ln n}{\epsilon} \right)$$

$$\text{choose } \epsilon: \frac{\ln n}{\epsilon} = \epsilon T \Rightarrow \epsilon = \sqrt{\frac{\ln n}{T}}$$

$$\text{then } \text{Regret}_T \leq \frac{1}{T} \left(\sqrt{T \ln n} + \sqrt{T \ln n} \right)$$

$$= \frac{2\sqrt{\ln n}}{\sqrt{T}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } T \text{ grows}$$

hence, this MW algo has no regret.

(convergence: regret $\leq \epsilon$ in $\frac{4 \ln n}{\epsilon^2}$ steps)

Note:

① requires T known in advance

② if only $c^t(a^t)$ known, this is the multi-armed bandit problem.

Playing No-Regret Dynamics leads to CCE

Consider a 2-player game, where each player uses no-regret dynamics to pick a mixed strategy at each time step σ_1^t, σ_2^t . Let $\sigma^t = (\sigma_1^t, \sigma_2^t)$.

Define $\hat{\sigma}$ as follows:

- pick $t \in [T]$ u.a.r.

- pick a pure strategy acc. to the prob. distribution $\sigma_1^t \times \sigma_2^t$

Lemma: If after T steps both players have at most ϵ regret, then $\hat{\sigma}$ is an ϵ -CCE

Defn: $\sigma \in \Delta_{[n]}$ is an ϵ -CCE if $\forall i, \forall s'_i \in \Delta_i$,

$$\mathbb{E}[c_i(s)] \leq \mathbb{E}[c_i(s'_i, s_{-i})] + \epsilon$$

Proof: For P1, $\text{Regret}_T \leq \epsilon$

$$\Rightarrow s'_1 \in \Delta_1, \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^T \sum_{s \in \Delta} c_1(s_1, s_2) \sigma_1^t(s_1) \sigma_2^t(s_2) - \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^T \sum_{s_2 \in \Delta_2} c_1(s'_1, s_2) \sigma_2^t(s_2) \leq \epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall s'_1 \in \Delta_1, \mathbb{E}_{s_2 \sim \hat{\sigma}} [c_1(s)] - \mathbb{E}_{s_2 \sim \hat{\sigma}} [c_1(s'_1, s_2)] \leq \epsilon \quad \square$$

Correlated Equilibria:

Consider the following game:

	L	R
U	2 \ 2	-2 \ -2
M	1 \ 1	-1 \ -1
D	-1 \ -1	1 \ 1

Here $\sigma(M,L) = 1/2, \sigma(D,R) = 1/2$ is a CCE.

(verify)

However if correlating device tells P1 to play M, P1 knows that P2 will play L.

Hence it could improve its payoff by playing U

(and continue to play D when told to do so)

(but this deviation is not allowed, hence CCE)

Defn: Distribution $\sigma \in \Delta_{[n]}$ is a Correlated Equilibrium

if $\forall i, \forall s_i, \forall s'_i$,

$$\mathbb{E}[c_i(s) | s_i] \leq \mathbb{E}[c_i(s'_i, s_{-i}) | s_i]$$

Claim: σ is a CE if for every $\delta: [n] \rightarrow [n]$,

$$\mathbb{E}[c_i(s)] \leq \mathbb{E}[c_i(\delta(s_i), s_{-i})]$$

Claim: Every MNE is a CE